

TRAFFIC AND ROAD SAFETY ADVISORY PANEL

17 JUNE 2009

Chairman:	* Councillor John Nickolay	
Councillors:	* Mrinal Choudhury	* Julia Merison
	* Susan Hall (5)	* Jerry Miles
	* Nizam Ismail	* Mrs Vina Mithani (3)
	* Manji Kara	* David Perry
	* Ashok Kulkarni	
Advisers:	† Mr A Blann	* Mr L Gray
	† Mr E Diamond	* Mr A Wood

* Denotes Member present
(3) and (5) Denote category of Reserve Member

[Notes: Councillor Brian Gate also attended this meeting to speak on the item indicated at Minute 165 below.

Councillor Janet Mote also attended this meeting indicated at Minute 158 (2) below.
Councillor Phillip O'Dell also attended this meeting to speak on the item indicated at Minute 162 below.

Councillor Anjana Patel also attended this meeting to speak on the item indicated at Minutes 165 and 166 below.

Councillors Sasikala Suresh and Asad Omar also attended the meeting for the item indicated at 163 below but did not speak.

Councillor Bill Stephenson also attended this meeting to speak on the items indicated at Minutes 163, 166 and 167 below].

PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS**RECOMMENDATION 1 - West Harrow Area Proposed Controlled Parking Zone - Results of the Public Consultation**

The Panel received deputations on this item from representatives of the St. Peters Medical Centre and the West Harrow Residents Group objecting to the proposed parking controls including a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) in West Harrow. A representative from St Peter's Medical Centre reported that:

- medical staff based at the surgery and those working out in the community would need unrestricted access to the surgery, which would be prevented if parking controls were introduced;
- purchasing parking permits for all staff could be expensive to the Medical Centre;
- disabled patients with mobility problems might not continue to attend the surgery if the proposed parking controls were introduced;
- the revised scheme could present parking problems for other surgeries and businesses within in the area.

A representative of the West Harrow Residents Group contended that:

- the consultation process had not been conducted properly and effectively;
- the officer report did not present the findings of the consultation correctly;
- the proposed recommendations would exacerbate the parking within the West Harrow area;
- the West Harrow community would become fragmented if the parking scheme was implemented.

In response to the deputations an officer confirmed that the proposals had been modified following views expressed by residents as part of the consultation process. He reported that visitors to the Medical Centre with a Blue Badge could park on yellow

lines and in permit bays, adding that healthcare workers could apply for postcode permits.

The Conservative Group tabled an amendment to the recommendations contained in the officer report regarding the proposed CPZ area. It was noted that the amendment reduced the extent of the proposals to areas where there was substantial majority support from residents for parking controls. Officers explained that the tabled amendment outlined new proposals for two separate CPZ areas. The Panel agreed to the circulation of the revised recommendations and plans in the tabled document.

The meeting was adjourned for 20 minutes to allow the Panel and members of the public to read the revised recommendations and attached new appendices.

An officer introduced a report on the findings of the public consultation, stating that:

- the parking controls including a possible new CPZ had been proposed following receipt of a petition and requests from residents in the consultation area to introduce a parking scheme to address their concerns about parking and improve access for emergency vehicles;
- a CPZ had been proposed where the majority of residents who responded had either said they wanted a CPZ or said that if one were to be introduced nearby they would want to be included;
- Appendix J replaced the third table of Appendix F in the original report and corresponded to the revised two CPZ areas as outlined in the revised recommendations;
- Appendix K showed the new proposed Eastern and Western Zones that replaced the single CPZ proposed in Appendix H within the original officer report;
- the consultation responses from those living within the proposed Eastern Zone with its close proximity to Harrow Town Centre showed majority support for additional hours of control in the afternoon and on Saturday to address parking pressures caused by commuters and shoppers (see Appendix J);
- the consultation responses from those living within the proposed Western Zone (the area around West Harrow station) showed support for one hour controls on weekdays to address commuter parking;
- the CPZ had not been introduced in roads and parts of roads where a majority of residents were clearly opposed to the introduction of a CPZ;
- Appendix L showed modified detailed parking restriction proposals across the consultation area to reflect the new proposed CPZ areas. The extent of double yellow lines had been significantly reduced outside the proposed CPZ areas.

Speaking as a backbencher, Councillor Brian Gate contended that:

- a majority of residents living within the consultation area did not support the implementation of the scheme;
- residents believed that the proposed parking controls would not address the existing parking problem and promote community cohesion within the consultation area;
- residents had signed a petition against the implementation of the proposed scheme;
- officers should be encouraged to consider alternative ways to introduce parking controls that would engage residents and consider their views.

Following views expressed by Councillors' Gate and Patel, both of whom represented the West Harrow Ward, a Member of the Panel expressed the view that, the proposed Eastern Zone where there was strong support for a CPZ should proceed and that there had also been a clear majority in support of the scheme in roads and parts of roads within the Western Zone.

The Labour Group proposed an amendment that the proposed Eastern CPZ area should be introduced and the Western CPZ area around West Harrow station should be excluded. When put to the vote this amendment failed.

In response to questions from Members of the Panel, Backbenchers and deputators, officers confirmed that:

- recommendations for the scheme would be considered by the Portfolio Holder for Environment and Community Safety;
- traffic orders would be drafted and advertised after recommendations for the scheme had been agreed;
- notices would be advertised in a local newspaper, the London Gazette and be posted on street furniture;
- leaflets would be sent to everyone within the consultation area in parallel with the statutory consultation period advising people of the revised proposals;
- objections to the scheme at the statutory consultation stage would be considered at a future meeting of this Panel;
- the Portfolio decision would be subject to a Call-in period.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (To the Portfolio Holder of Environment and Community Safety)

That (1) officers be authorised to make minor amendments and finalise the detailed design of the parking controls in accordance with Appendices F, J & K and take all necessary steps under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to advertise the traffic orders, the details of which will be delegated to officers, the elements of which are as specified in (3) to (5) below;

(2) people at addresses within the proposed new controlled parking zones, described in (4) below, be advised of the CPZ details and asked to confirm their support or opposition to the CPZs as advertised, such process to take place in parallel with the required statutory consultation;

(3) double yellow line restrictions be introduced at the junctions/locations shown at Appendix E, but their extent be modified where possible in line with consultation feedback and site geometry, as indicated at Appendix L;

(4) the two new CPZ areas, as shown at Appendices J and K, to comprise:

- (i) Western zone - Heath Road, The Gardens, Wilson Gardens, western parts of Bouverie Road, Butler Road and Vaughan Road, northern section of Drury Road, northern end of Bowen Road and six properties on the northern side of the eastern end of Blenheim Road, to operate Monday to Friday 10.00 am to 11.00 am,
- (ii) Eastern Zone - Badgers Close, Butler Avenue and eastern parts of Vaughan Road and Butler Road to operate Monday to Saturday 10.00 am to 11.00 am and 2.00 pm to 3.00 pm;

(5) in addition to the permit parking bays within these roads, that bays be introduced in The Gardens and Vaughan Road near its eastern junction with Bouverie Road to provide short term pay and display parking (tariff 20p per half hour maximum 2 hours) and longer-term pay and display at the western end of Bouverie Road (tariff £3.50 per day) and loading bays be introduced in Blenheim Road, Colbeck Road and Vaughan Road as shown at Appendix E and as amended by the revised zone boundaries shown on Appendix K;

(6) a report on the results of statutory consultation and the re-consultation referred to in (2) above, be considered by a future meeting of this Panel prior to a final decision on what scheme proposal should actually be implemented;

(7) the waiting and loading restrictions on Bessborough Road south of its junction with Lascelles Avenue, Andrews Close (serving the Honeybun Centre), Treve Avenue and its junction with Whitmore Road/Pollack Avenue be the subject of further local consultation;

(8) re-consultation / further consultation be carried out in roads or sections of roads outside the zones described in (4) above to gauge the level of support for further extension of the permit parking and CPZ to these roads, approximately 6 months after recommendation (4) above has been implemented, subject to the availability of funding.

Reason for Recommendation: To control parking within the West Harrow area as detailed in the report.

RECOMMENDATION 2 - Allocation of £100,000 Transport for London (TfL) Funding

The Panel received deputations on this item from staff and pupils at Hatch End High School, requesting that a pedestrian crossing be introduced outside or near to the front gate of the school. It was stated that:

- a pedestrian crossing would provide a clear right of access to the school for pupils and patrons of the school travelling on public transport;
- a crossing near to the school would improve safety for pupils, staff, young children and the elderly attending the school or living nearby;
- traffic approaching the area around the school travelled at speeds that failed to consider the safety of residents and pupils trying to cross the road;
- a crossing provision should be implemented before the age of transfer to High school is lowered in the 2010-11 academic year;
- pupils have been encouraged to use alternative forms of transport to a car in order to reduce the likelihood of accidents around the school;

The Panel also received a deputation from the Governors of Longfield First and Middle Schools requesting that the Panel consider revising the traffic light phasing at the junction of Imperial Drive and the Ridgeway in North Harrow. A representative of the Governors advised the Panel that:

- parents, teachers and residents living near to the junction had requested that pedestrian crossing facilities be provided at the junction;
- people found it difficult to use the crossing point during certain times of the day as some motorists did not stop when the traffic light controls changed;
- children from other schools in North Harrow also use the crossing before, during and after school hours;
- revising traffic light phasing at the junction would promote the schools' travel plan and fulfil the Mayor's Transport Strategy;

An officer tabled an amendment to Table 2 contained in the report as some text had been omitted from the published report. The Panel unanimously agreed to the circulation of the tabled amendment.

The officer then introduced a report on the potential schemes for using the £100,000 local transport fund received from TfL for use during 2009/10. He reported that the potential schemes met the (London) Mayor's Transport Strategy and some of Harrow Councils' Corporate Priorities for 2009/10 and requested that the Panel consider Appendix A in the report and recommend priorities for spending the money allocated.

During a discussion on the potential schemes, Members of the Panel commented that a number of the schemes could be addressed in other ways and that schemes that directly affected schools and vulnerable people should be prioritised. In light of these comments and the report, Members of the Panel.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (To the Portfolio Holder of Environment and Community Safety)

That the £100,000 of TfL local transport funding be applied to:

- (1) address problems relative to pedestrian phasing at the junction of Imperial Drive and the Ridgeway;
- (2) a parking scheme in Stafford Road, that would improve road safety and access for emergency vehicles, refuse collections and the special needs bus service.

Reason for Recommendation: In order for the London Borough of Harrow to spend the £100,000 allocated by Transport for London for transport issues.

RECOMMENDATION 3 - Headstone South Parking Review and Possible Pinner Road Area Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) and associated waiting restrictions - Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders

A Ward Councillor who had made a request to speak at the Panel meeting, which had formally been agreed, stated that:

- petitions signed by a large number of residents objecting to CPZ proposals on the County Roads leading off the Pinner Road had been presented to the Panel meeting on 26 November 2008;
- ward Councillors were pleased that the Panel had considered residents' comments at previous meetings;
- the proposed CPZ included a small number of roads adjacent to the Pinner Road and would not resolve parking problems but would create parking for residents during weekdays and at weekends;
- pay and display restrictions should be introduced for business and patrons parking near to the Pinner Road;
- a review of the scheme after it had been implemented would be useful to gauge how effective it had been in addressing parking issues.

In response to his comments, a Member of the Panel advised that, the extent of proposed double yellow lines had been reduced at many locations and further reductions were proposed at locations shown at Appendix C of the officer report. The proposals would provide as much parking for residents as practicable in the final scheme. She added that, officers would re-consult residents around the new CPZ 6-12 months after the scheme was implemented.

An amendment was proposed by a (Labour) member to implement all the recommendations excluding the CPZ. When put to the vote this amendment failed.

Members of the Conservative Group moved that the recommendations as tabled be agreed.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (To the Portfolio Holder of Environment and Community Safety)

That (1) the traffic orders be amended as detailed in Appendix C to address the formal objections to the advertised traffic orders for the Headstone South parking review proposals for the reasons given in the report, that further objections be set aside, that the objectors be informed and officers proceed with the order making and implementation of a scheme comprising in summary:

- a) a new CPZ including the following addresses Pinner Road 1 to 255 odds and 2 to 204 evens; Bedford Road 1 to 7 odds and 2 to 8 evens; Devonshire Road 1 to 35 odds and 2 to 42 evens; Rutland Road 1 to 9 odds and 2 to 8 evens; Pinner View 2 to 26 evens; and Neptune Road operating; Monday to Friday 11.00 am to noon;
- b) parking spaces (and associated waiting restrictions) in the first sections of Devonshire Road, Oxfordshire Road, Rutland Road, Bedford Road and Pinner View leading from Pinner Road, controlled between Monday – Saturday 8.00 am - 6.30 pm as detailed in the advertised traffic order;
- c) revised waiting and loading restrictions on Pinner Road between its junctions with Greenhill Way and Station Road, North Harrow; and
- d) junction double yellow line – no waiting at any time waiting restrictions as detailed in the advertised traffic orders but as amended as shown in Appendix C be introduced;

(2) officers be instructed to re-consult people from addresses peripheral to the new CPZ between 6 and 12 months after implementation to assess/reassess support for being part of the CPZ.

Reason for Recommendation: To control parking in roads as set out in the report.

RECOMMENDATION 4 - Burnt Oak Broadway Proposed Parking Controls - Consultation Results

An officer presented a report of the Corporate Director of Community and Environment which summarised the findings of the public consultation on the implementation of a CPZ, associated parking and loading provisions and restrictions in Burnt Oak Broadway.

In response to questions by a Member of the Panel relating to the proposed parking charges, the officer advised that the scheme had been developed in conjunction with proposals that Barnet Council had in their area and could be modified at the statutory consultation stage when finalised proposals from Barnet Council are outlined.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (To the Portfolio Holder of Environment & Community Safety)

That (1) a new controlled parking zone be introduced in Argyll Gardens, Bacon Lane, Berridge Green (consecutive numbers 9 to 14), Burnt Oak Broadway (odd Nos. 53 to 319), Camrose Avenue (odd Nos 75 to 67), Columbia Avenue, Gordon Gardens, Kenmore Gardens, Northolme Gardens, Oakleigh Avenue (between Burnt Oak Broadway and The Chase), Park Way, Penylan Place (consecutive Nos 5 to 10), Stag Lane (even No. 2 to 26), Strathmore Gardens, The Chase (between Bacon Lane and Columbia Avenue), The Highlands, Vancouver Road, as shown on the CPZ zone plan at Appendix F;

(2) short-term pay and display parking bays be introduced in Burnt Oak Broadway service road, Bacon Lane, Columbia Avenue, access road between 195 to 199 Burnt Oak Broadway, Oakleigh Avenue and The Highlands as detailed on plans 2, 4 and 5 at Appendix D. The proposed operational hours are 8.am to 6.30pm Monday to Saturday inclusive for a maximum stay of 2 hours with no return within 4 hours. The proposed parking charges are 50p per half hour or part half hour;

(3) short term shared use pay and display parking bays be introduced in Bacon Lane, and Vancouver Road as detailed on plans 2 and 4 at Appendix D with the same operational times and charges as in item (2) above;

(4) long term shared use pay and display parking bays be introduced in Bacon Lane, The Chase, Northolme Gardens and Columbia Avenue as detailed on plans 2 and 4 at Appendix D. The proposed operational hours are 8.00 am to 6.30 pm Monday to Saturday inclusive for a maximum stay of 4 hours with no return within 5 hours. The proposed parking charges are 50p per half hour or part half hour;

(5) loading bays be introduced in Oakleigh Avenue, The Highlands and in Burnt Oak Broadway service road as detailed on plans 4 and 5 at Appendix D;

(6) one-way working be introduced in Park Way as detailed on plan 5 at Appendix D;

(7) loading restrictions be introduced at the following junctions: Bacon Lane with Burnt Oak Broadway, Selwyn Court and Vancouver Road; Columbia Avenue with Burnt Oak Broadway and Vancouver Road; Burnt Oak Broadway with access road between Nos. 237 to 239 Burnt Oak Broadway; Oakleigh Avenue with Park Way and Vancouver Road; The Highlands with Park Way; Stag Lane with Park Way; the entire north east side of Burnt Oak Broadway service road; north east side of Park Way and service road at the rear of 129 to 149 Burnt Oak Broadway all as detailed on plans 2, 4 and 5 at Appendix D;

(8) no waiting at any time restrictions (double yellow lines) be introduced at all locations within the consultation area including those roads within the recommended CPZ area and those outside this area as detailed on the plans 1 to 5 inclusive at Appendix D and;

- (i) that officers be authorised to make minor amendments and finalise the detailed design of the parking controls in accordance with Appendices D and F, and take all necessary steps under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to advertise the traffic orders, and to implement the scheme subject to consideration of objections; and
- (ii) that the Traffic and Highway Network Manager be authorised to determine any objections to the scheme received as a result of the statutory consultation or otherwise in consultation with the Portfolio Holder.

Reason for Recommendation: To control parking in roads as set out in the report.

PART II - MINUTES151. **Appointment of Advisory Panel Chairman:**

RESOLVED: To note the appointment at the meeting of Cabinet on 14 May 2009 of Councillor John Nickolay as Chairman of the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel for the Municipal Year 2009/10.

152. **Attendance by Reserve Members:**

RESOLVED: To note the attendance at this meeting of the following duly appointed Reserve Members:-

Ordinary Member

Councillor Yogesh Teli
Councillor Jeremy Zeid

Reserve Member

Councillor Susan Hall
Councillor Vina Mithani

153. **Declarations of Interest:**

RESOLVED: To note that the following interests were declared:

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Member</u>	<u>Nature of Interest</u>
13. Headstone South Parking Review and Possible Pinner Road area Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) and associated waiting restrictions – Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders	Councillor Julia Merison	Councillor Julia Merison declared a prejudicial interest in that her sister was a trader on the Pinner Road. Accordingly, she left the room during the discussion and decision making on this item.
14. West Harrow Area Proposed Controlled Parking Zone – Results of the Public Consultation	Councillor Brian Gate	Councillor Brian Gate declared a personal interest as a resident of Butler Road, West Harrow and that his wife was employed by St. Peters Medical Centre in Colbeck Road, West Harrow. Accordingly, he remained in the room and took part in the discussion on this item.
	Councillor Asad Omar	Councillor Asad Omar declared a personal interest in that he lived near to the Ridgeway, Harrow. Accordingly, he remained in the room during the discussion and decision making on this item.
	Councillor Anjana Patel	Councillor Anjana Patel declared a personal interest in that she lived on the Ridgeway, Harrow. Accordingly, she remained in the room during the discussion and decision making on this item.
15. Allocation of £100,000 Transport for London (TfL) funding	Councillor Bill Stephenson	Councillor Bill Stephenson declared a personal interest in that he was a Governor of Hatch End High School. Accordingly, he remained in the room and took part in the discussion on this item.

154. **Right of Members to Speak:**

RESOLVED: That, in accordance with Committee Procedure Rule 4.1, the following Councillors, who were not Members of the Committee, be allowed to speak if they wished to on the agenda items indicated:

<u>Councillor</u>	<u>Agenda Item</u>
Brian Gate	Item 14 – West Harrow Proposed CPZ
Janet Mote	Item 7 – Petitions
Phillip O’Dell	Item 11 – Information Report on Petitions
Anjana Patel	Item 14 – West Harrow Proposed CPZ Item 15 – Allocation of TfL funding
Bill Stephenson	Item 12 – Headstone South Parking Review Item 15 – Allocation of TfL funding Item 16 – Information Report – Progress Update on Key Traffic and Parking Schemes
Asad Omar	Item 12 – Headstone South Parking Review
Sasikala Suresh	Item 12 – Headstone South Parking Review

155. **Appointment of Vice-Chairman:**

RESOLVED: To appoint Councillor Manji Kara as Vice-Chairman of the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel for the 2009/10 Municipal Year.

156. **Minutes:**

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 11 February 2009 be read and signed as a correct record of the meeting subject to the addition of:-

‘An Advisor, on behalf of all the Advisors, also thanked the Chairman.’

in Minute 149.

157. **Public Questions:**

The Panel received a late request for a public question from a member of the public.

RESOLVED: That a written response to the question raised would be provided to the member of the public.

158. **Petitions:**

Petitions presented at the meeting:

1. Councillor John Nickolay presented a petition containing 84 signatures on behalf of residents in Kings Road, Harrow objecting to the proposed parking restrictions. The terms of the petition included the statements:
 - ”... The street is made up of houses with no shops and businesses and it is too far from the tube station for it to be used by commuters parking and journeying into London ...”
 - “... Putting yellow lines on the road will make residents lives unnecessarily difficult especially with the proposed time restrictions ...”
 - “... We are genuinely very concerned that freeing up the road will encourage speeding ...”
2. The Governors of St John Fisher Roman Catholic First and Middle School presented petitions signed by parents, governors, teachers and pupils of the school respectively. The petitions containing 704 signatures from parents, teachers and governors and 403 from pupils requested Members of the Panel to consider providing an “uncontrolled” pedestrian crossing in Pinner Road near its junction with Melrose Road, to assist with the school’s walk to school initiative.

3. Representatives from Hatch End High School presented a petition containing 1023 signatures from parents, pupils and teachers of the school. The terms of the petition were as follows:

“We the undersigned, as pupils or parents from Hatch End High School, request that Harrow council put a zebra crossing outside the main gates of our school, on Headstone Lane.”

4. A representative of residents living within the Headstone South ward presented a petition containing 102 signatures from residents living on Victor Road, Sidney Road, Edward Road, Albert Road and Pinner View. Signatories of the petition requested the Council to reduce the proposed length of the double yellow lines on these roads.

5. Representatives from St Peter’s Medical Centre in Colbeck Road, Harrow presented a petition containing 66 signatures on behalf of patients and staff of the centre. The terms of the petition were as follows:

“We believe that charging patients to park outside St Peter’s Medical Centre will potentially impose a charge for accessing our services on vulnerable groups of patients such as, those with limited mobility and in effect tax staff who work at St Peter’s Medical Centre for providing a service to the patients of West Harrow. We do not believe that parking restrictions on Colbeck Road are necessary.”

6. Councillor Brian Gate presented a petition containing 16 signatures on behalf of patients and staff of GP Direct, Butler Avenue, Harrow. The terms of the petition were as follows:

“We would like to petition against the proposed parking restrictions in Butler Avenue, due to the fact that this will affect the patients who attend our surgery at 43 Butler Avenue. This will particularly affect the elderly and young families, should they be restricted in parking and unable to gain access to the surgery freely. Having to park further away from the surgery may impact upon our patients on medical grounds, as being unsafe.”

7. A representative of the West Harrow Residents Group presented a petition containing 983 signatures of residents of West Harrow objecting to the proposed parking controls and double yellow lines.

159. **Deputations:**

RESOLVED: That, in accordance with Advisory Panel and Consultative Forum Procedure Rule 15 (Part 4E of the Constitution), deputations should be received in respect of:

- Agenda Item 14 residents concerns about the proposed Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) and the results of the Public Consultation;
- Agenda Item 14 concerns about the proposed Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) received from St. Peters Medical Centre;
(see Minute Item 165)
- Agenda Item 15 concerns regarding the lack of pedestrian crossing facilities outside Hatch End High School;
- Agenda Item 15 concerns regarding the lack of pedestrian crossing facilities at the junction of Imperial Drive and the Ridgeway, North Harrow.
(See Minute Item 166)

160. **References from Council and Other Committees/Panels:**

The Panel received a reference from the Cabinet meeting held on 14 May 2009. The reference referred to a petition, which had been presented by Councillor Margaret Davine. The petition containing 36 signatures of residents of Collier Drive and surrounding roads requested action to tackle congestion and improve the state of alleyways in the area.

RESOLVED: That the petition be received and noted.

161. **Appointment of Advisors:**
The Panel considered a report by the Director of Legal and Governance Services.
- RESOLVED:** That the following non-voting advisors be appointed to the Panel for the 2009/10 Municipal Year:
- Mr Alan Blann representing CTC Right to Ride
Mr Eric Diamond representing the North West London Chamber of Commerce
Mr Len Gray representing Pedestrians' Interests
Mr Anthony Wood representing Harrow Public Transport Users' Association.
162. **INFORMATION REPORT: Petitions received prior to this meeting (1) Hibbert Road, Wealdstone, (2) Lake View, Edgware, (3) High Street, Edgware, (4) County Roads off Pinner Road, Harrow, (5) Ruskin Gdns, Cowbridge Road and Repton Road, Kenton (6) Linden Close, Stanmore:**
The Panel received a report of the Corporate Director of Community and Environment outlining details of petitions that had been received since the Panel meeting in 11 February 2009.
- Speaking on behalf of the Ward Member for Wealdstone, a Member of the Panel reported that the majority of residents living near to Belmont School supported the parking proposals and scheme included in the report.
- In response, a Member of the Panel advised that the proposed scheme could be placed on the "problem street" list.
- RESOLVED:** That the report be noted.
163. **Headstone South Parking Review and Possible Pinner Road Area Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) and associated waiting restrictions - Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders:**
(See Recommendation 3)
164. **Burnt Oak Broadway Area Proposed Parking Controls - Consultation Results:**
(See Recommendation 4)
165. **West Harrow Area Parking Review and possible Controlled Parking Zone - Results of the local Consultation and proposals for Implementation:**
(See Recommendation 1)
166. **Allocation of £100,000 Transport for London (TfL) funding:**
(See Recommendation 2)
167. **INFORMATION REPORT - Progress Update on Key Traffic and Parking Schemes:**
The Panel received an information report of the Corporate Director of Community and Environment which provided an update on the progress of a number of traffic management projects within the Borough.
- RESOLVED:** That the report be noted.
168. **Extension and Termination of the Meeting:**
In accordance with the Advisory and Consultative Procedure Rule 13 (Part 4E of the Constitution) it was
- RESOLVED:** At (1) 9.55 pm to continue until 10.30 pm;
(2) 10.28 pm to continue until 10.45 pm.
169. **Date of Next Meeting:**
- RESOLVED:** To note that the next meeting of the Panel would be held on Wednesday 15 September 2009 at 7.30 pm.
- (Note: The meeting having commenced at 7.33 pm, closed at 10.45 pm)

(Signed) COUNCILLOR JOHN NICKOLAY
Chairman